SECRET

Approved For Release 2004/07/08: CIA-RDP80M00596A000200020037-3 The Director of Central Intelligence

Washington, D.C. 20505

Resource Management Staff

DCI/ICS 78-1191 16 October 1978

	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Lieutenant General Eugene F. Tighe, Jr., USAF Director, Defense Intelligence Agency
25X1	FROM:	Acting Director, Policy Guidance Office
	SUBJECT:	Input for DCI's Annual Report
25X1	due to the Presidence to the DCI has appropriately appropr	paration of the DCI's Annual Report for calendar year 1978, dent and the Congress by 25 January 1979, is now under way. oved a concept for this year's Annual Report which is more ast year's, both because this seems more appropriate to a ed by attention to key intelligence issues rather than the port approach used for 1977 as a year of extensive community nd also to limit length for a busy reader to a manageable t year's ran 80 plus). The DCI has also approved an outline report (Attachment 1). Part I is designed to allow the DCI particular characteristics and emphases of 1978 in intellist intended to air several issues of great importance to cutive users, and the Congress.
25X1	2. Then be especially he	re are several specific subjects on which DIA input would lpful to us. These are:
	a. hi 1978 (Outli	ghlights of DIA analysis and production for calendar year ne topic I.A.3.b.)
	Emphases in experience	veral of the substantive topics under I.B., New or Altered Analysis: new developments in the strategic balance and in communicating them; proliferating intelligence support nitation talks; intelligence support for crises (e.g., II)
	c. I&I	W (topic I.A.6.)
	d. of analysis and Interface is	the Part II issues of special concern, the quality of d policy support and developments in National/Tactical ssues

25X1

25X1

CLUMEI

Approved For Release 2004/07/08: CIA-RDP80M00596A000200020037-3

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

SUBJECT: Input for DCI's Annual Report
3. With respect to the DIA production year, what we are particularly trying to get at is what is different (in emphasis, user demands, accomplishments, constraints, etc.) about 1978 over other years for the community's major production components.
4. With respect to substantive analytical topics, we offer the following suggested breakdown to help structure your thoughts and input:
a. significant Soviet trends affecting the strategic balance, and experience in communicating these effectively to national security planners, e.g.:
pace of accuracy improvements in Soviet ICBMs; other?
experience in communicating implications for the US of alternative Soviet force projections in ways more realistic and helpful than static measures alone, without preempting the policy prerogative of full force interaction analysis
b. growth in requirements for intelligence support to arms limitation talks, e.g.:
beyond SALT and MBFR to CTB, ASAT, CAT, Indian Ocean; examples of new substantive issues requiring analysis; ramifications of support to delegations
<pre> harder SALT verification challenges (cruise missiles, planning for verification analysis in the MAP era, etc.)</pre>
need for integrated analysis across the entire arms limitation field, for coordinated production management, consistent standards for delegation support, etc.
c. comments on the special characteristics/requirements for intelligence support to crises and near-crises; e.g., Horn, and Shaba II (Iran? Afghanistan?)
5. On I&W, (topic I.A.6.) a brief characterization, from the DIA/GDIP perspective, of major work on this field during 1978 (e.g., WISP) would be helpful.
6. With respect to the issues of special concern to intelligence and its users identified for discussion in Part II, we are particularly interested in DIA comment on quality of analysis and policy support and on National/Tactical Interface matters. Specifically:
a. given current manpower constraints, a discussion of internal DIA programs to maximize qualitative analytical productivity and

SEUKE!

Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP80M00596A000200020037-3			
SUBJECT: Input for DCI's Annual Report	25X1		
<pre>interim results of such efforts; also discussion of principal means used to keep abreast of policy users' needs, relative effectiveness of various approaches tried, etc.; appropriateness of current NFIP collection-production balance</pre>			
b. identification of key aspects of National/Tactical Interface worked on in DIA and the GDIP during 1978, with highlights of issues surfaced, trade-offs confronted, general evaluation of status of progress on this complicated and perennial set of problems			
7. Beyond these specific requests, DIA input or comment on any of the other outline topics is welcome.			
is responsible for producing this year's DCI Annual Report. Please identity a principal point of contact for her to work with. We shall need all inputs by COB I November in order to accomplish integrated drafting in November, review in December, and DCI approval and production in January.			
	25X1		
Attachments: 1. DCI Revised Outline - AR for 1978 2. DCI letter to NFIB principals requesting help on Annual Report			
cc: Director, GDIP Staff Defense Intelligence Agency			

25X1

25X1

25X1



25X1

Approved For Release 2004/07/08: CIA-RDP80M00596A000200020037-3 SUBJECT: Input to the DCI's Annual Report Distribution: DCI/IC 78-1191 Original & 1 - D/DIA w/atts 1 - D/GDIP w/atts 1 - EO w/atts 1 - CLLO w/atts 1 - PBO w/atts 1 - PAO w/atts 1 - PGO Subject (1978 AR Production/Tasking File) w/atts 1 - PGO Chrono w/atts 1 - RMS Registry w/atts

25X1

DCI/RMS/PGO/ (13 October 78)